

Biotechnology Research Project Rubric

	1	3	5
PRESENTATION	Poor job. You either read off of your note/presentation and you were not prepared. Presentation did not run smoothly.	You did a good job but at times read off of either your notes or the presentation. Periods of the presentation did not run smoothly. May have been over 10 minutes	Excellent, very professional. Did not read off of your notes or presentation. Used note cards effectively, made eye contact with your audience. Presentation went very smoothly. Under 10 minutes
Google Presentation	Very hard to read your information. Either the font was too small or the background blended in with the text. Poor use of graphics or no graphics at all. Did not flow well from one presenter to the next	Good job but some of the slides were hard to read. Either too small of a font or background color blended in with the text. Good use of graphics, nice flow from one presenter to the next	Excellent. Your presentation was easy to read and follow. Excellent use of graphics. Flowed from one presenter to the next
What is it? What is the purpose? Procedure explained?	Poor job. You did not thoroughly explain this technology. Audience doesn't have an understanding of this technology after your presentation.	Did a nice job of describing this technology but there were a few areas that were left a bit unclear and confusing.	Excellent job of describing this technology. Very thorough explanation of the procedure for this technology. Audience came away with a very good understanding of how and what this technology is.
Benefits/Drawbacks	Poor explanation of the benefits and drawbacks	Good explanation of the benefits and drawbacks but were not as thorough as you could have been	Excellent explanation of the benefits and drawbacks of this technology. Very thorough.
Examples of this technology? Is it being used? If not, when?	Poor examples or none. No mention of when it will become available	Not sufficient number of examples or your explanation of why not or when it will become available was a bit vague.	Excellent examples of it being used today or an excellent explanation of why not and when it will be available
Current Events? News?	Poor. Not included	Good. Informative. Nice examples of this technology in the news	Excellent. Very informative. Multiple examples of this technology in the news.
Research	Poor. Did not do a good job of describing past and present research	Good job of describing past and present research. Could have been a bit more thorough	Excellent job of describing past and present research. Very thorough